

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 977(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 977(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 977.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION TWO

In re ROBERT R., a Person Coming Under
the Juvenile Court Law.

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

ROBERT R.,

Defendant and Appellant.

E035864

(Super.Ct.No. J181096)

OPINION

APPEAL from the Superior Court of San Bernardino County. Katrina West,
Judge. Affirmed.

Elizabeth Corpora, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and
Appellant.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.

On April 25, 2002, Robert R. (minor), age 13, represented by counsel, admitted
the allegations set forth in count 2 (Pen. Code, § 594, subd. (b)(2)(A), vandalism) of the

petition filed on March 18, 2002, by the San Bernardino County District Attorney.

Thereafter, minor was declared to be a ward of the juvenile court pursuant to Welfare and Institutions Code section 602, placed on probation, and count 1 (Pen. Code, §§ 664/459, attempted residential burglary) of the petition was dismissed by the district attorney in the interests of justice (Pen. Code, § 1385).

Subsequently, on March 3, 2003, minor's probation officer submitted a report recommending that minor be continued a ward of the court even though "the minor's overall probation performance has been inconsistent at times, he has satisfied all of his terms and conditions" of probation. Minor has, however, not resolved the juvenile traffic citation issue even though he has had plenty of time "to do so." As a consequence of the "non-appearance review," minor was continued a ward of the juvenile court "in the custody" of his mother and ordered to comply with the terms and conditions of his grant of probation.

Minor appealed and upon his request, this court appointed counsel to represent him. Counsel has filed a brief under the authority of *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436 and *Anders v. California* (1967) 386 U.S. 738 [87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493], setting forth a statement of the case, a summary of the facts, and requesting this court to undertake a review of the entire record.

We offered minor an opportunity to file a personal supplemental brief which he has not done.

We have now concluded our independent review of the record and find no arguable issues.

The judgment is affirmed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS

RAMIREZ

P. J.

We concur:

WARD

J.

GAUT

J.